

Liam Winter

From: Kelly Durham (AT) <Kelly.Durham@at.govt.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 20 February 2025 1:44 pm
To: Liam Winter
Subject: FW: AT Carrington Road Project: HNZPT Feedback on HIA and Archaeological Assessment

FYI

From: Lisa Ahn <LAhn@heritage.org.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 20 February 2025 1:42 pm
To: Kelly Durham (AT) <Kelly.Durham@at.govt.nz>
Cc: Mary Kienholz <MKienholz@heritage.org.nz>; Stuart Bracey <SBracey@heritage.org.nz>
Subject: RE: AT Carrington Road Project: HNZPT Feedback on HIA and Archaeological Assessment

Kia ora Kelly,

Thank you for your email dated 11 February 2025.

We support the concept plans in principle and note that the proposed conditions include heritage management mitigation. We will not consider giving an affected party approval at this stage, but we will reconsider when we see the final design plans showing the detailed heritage mitigation. We confirm that we remain available to work with AT design staff through the detailed design stage.

Ngā mihi,
Lisa

Lisa Ahn | Planner/Kaiwhakamāhere – Northern Region

Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga

L10 SAP Tower 151 Queen Street Auckland CBD | Private Box 105 291, Auckland City 1143 | DDI: (07) 577 4535 | Ph: 027 267 3197 | visit www.heritage.org.nz and learn more about NZ's heritage places.

[Tairangahia a tua whakarere; Tatakihia nga reanga o amuri ake nei – Honouring the past; Inspiring the future](#)

This communication may be a privileged communication. If you are not the intended recipient, then you are not authorised to retain, copy or distribute it. Please notify the sender and delete the message in its entirety.

From: Kelly Durham (AT) <Kelly.Durham@at.govt.nz>
Sent: Tuesday, 11 February 2025 3:07 pm
To: Lisa Ahn <LAhn@heritage.org.nz>
Cc: Mary Kienholz <MKienholz@heritage.org.nz>
Subject: RE: AT Carrington Road Project: HNZPT Feedback on HIA and Archaeological Assessment

Kia ora Lisa,

Just following up on my email below – apologies I'm a bit later in coming back to you.

I've included your comments and our responses to these in the below table.

HNZPT Comments	AT Response
Archaeological values The DPA report argues a case for removal of the brick wall in favour of reconfiguration of the road to allow increased traffic, cycling and road user safety. The CFG archaeological	Noted. The arch assessment will be updated to address this prior to submitting the arch authority application.

<p>assessment is generally thorough but lacks detail of the extent of wall demolition and method and how loss of this feature might be mitigated. This would need to be provided for the archaeological authority that will be necessary to demolish the wall.</p>	
<p>Cultural values We agree with the recommendation in the Archaeological Assessment that an Authority should be applied for. From a Māori heritage perspective, there are no further comments. We support the recommendation for an Archaeological Authority.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>Built heritage values We accept that the parameters and concept design proposed to achieve the roading, bicycle path and footpath for the west side of Carrington Road are such that realistically the existing 1887 portion of the airing court wall cannot be retained in situ. We do however regard as feasible the implementing of an inground surface outline of the original position of the 1887 wall using original bricks from the wall, including the returns that existed back to the hospitals western wing for a distance that remains within the designation boundary. This would be tangible way to indicate the former location and presence of the wall, which combined with interpretative signage in an appropriate publicly visible position (at the confluence of bike and walking paths), would assist in mitigation for the 1887 wall's removal. These are aspects we would like to see incorporated into the proposal.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>We are still working through the detailed design for the landscaping/urban design elements. At this point we cannot commit to implementing the surface outline of the wall – however this, and signage etc. will be investigated through detailed design. We are proposing the below condition of consent (still draft), which includes specific engagement with HNZPT on the development of the ULDP (green highlighted sections are most relevant). This condition will be revised once we have the updated built heritage assessment recommendations, and I expect we will expand on the measures to mitigate effects of the removal of the wall to include interpretative signage etc. I can share an updated version of the condition when I have it.</p> <p>Urban Design and Landscape Plan (ULDP)</p> <p>a) The Consent Holder shall submit an Urban Design and Landscape Plan (ULDP) in accordance with the requirements of Condition 6. The objective of the ULDP is to demonstrate how the Project manages any landscape and visual effects as far as practicable and contributes to a quality urban environment.</p> <p>b) To achieve the objective, the ULDP shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Urban design and landscape design details for bridges and associated structures over the North Auckland Line (NAL); ii. Urban design and landscape design details for works within the Oakley Hospital Main Building extent of place (ID 01618), including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Interface between the proposed permanent works within the extent of place (including footpath, cycle path, planting areas, raingardens, and relocated bus stop) and the Oakley Hospital Main Building; and B. Measures to mitigate the effect of demolishing the Airing Court Wall, including reuse of bricks as part of the Project as described in the Assessment of Effects on the Environment. iii. Lighting, signage, and street furniture details for the Project; iv. A Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) audit of the walking and cycling

	<p>facilities and bridge structures proposed as part of the Project;</p> <p>v. Design features associated with stormwater management, including both hard and soft landscaping;</p> <p>vi. A detailed streetscape landscaping plan for all berms, raingardens, swales, street trees, and other planting areas, including the following information:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">A. Show all planting including details of intended species, location, plant sizes at time of planting and on maturity, tree pit specifications, the overall material palette, location of street access, and other service access points;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">B. Ensure that selected species can maintain appropriate separation distances from paths, roads, streetlights, and vehicle crossings in accordance with the Auckland Transport Code of Practice; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">C. Include planting methodology.</p> <p>vii. A maintenance plan and establishment requirements over a three-year period for landscaping and five years for specimen trees following planting;</p> <p>viii. Measures to reinstate construction yards and/or site compound areas as relevant following the Completion of Construction;</p> <p>ix. Design features and methods providing for Māori cultural expression as identified in condition 7; and</p> <p>x. Details of how the Project integrates with the adjacent urban and landscape context, in particular the Carrington Residential Development.</p> <p>c) The Consent Holder shall invite the following parties to participate in the development of the ULDP:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Mana Whenua; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT).</p> <p>d) The Consent Holder shall include a summary of input received from the parties listed at (c) in the ULDP. The summary shall note how this input has been incorporated, or if not the reasons why.</p>
--	---

We would welcome any comments on the proposed ULDP condition, and I'm happy to provide the updated heritage assessment and condition once we have it.

If you are supportive of the proposed condition (or a revised version of it) and comfortable with being involved on the preparation of the ULDP, would HNZPT consider providing affected party approval for the application?

Ngā mihi,
Kelly

Kelly Durham | Principal Planner
Consent Planning Team | Infrastructure and Place
Auckland Transport

20 Viaduct Harbour Avenue, Auckland 1010

M 021 347 383

kelly.durham@at.govt.nz | www.at.govt.nz



From: Kelly Durham (AT)

Sent: Thursday, 30 January 2025 11:18 am

To: Lisa Ahn <LAhn@heritage.org.nz>

Cc: Mary Kienholz <MKienholz@heritage.org.nz>

Subject: RE: AT Carrington Road Project: HNZPT Feedback on HIA and Archaeological Assessment

Kia ora Lisa,

Thanks for providing this feedback.

The project team are reviewing, and I aim to come back to you next week with an update on how we intend to address the comments.

Ngā mihi,
Kelly

Kelly Durham | Principal Planner

Consent Planning Team | Infrastructure and Place

Auckland Transport

20 Viaduct Harbour Avenue, Auckland 1010

M 021 347 383

kelly.durham@at.govt.nz | www.at.govt.nz



From: Lisa Ahn <LAhn@heritage.org.nz>

Sent: Wednesday, 29 January 2025 1:37 pm

To: Kelly Durham (AT) <Kelly.Durham@at.govt.nz>

Cc: Mary Kienholz <MKienholz@heritage.org.nz>

Subject: AT Carrington Road Project: HNZPT Feedback on HIA and Archaeological Assessment

Kia ora Kelly,

We have reviewed the documents (HIA DPA Architects 16 12 2024 and Archaeological Assessment CFG 19 12 2024) sent through and considered them in relation to the proposed works as indicated on the Draft Concept Design Drawings and the Technical Memorandum on the rationale for the removal of the Airing Court Wall.

Our feedback on the documents:

Archaeological values

The DPA report argues a case for removal of the brick wall in favour of reconfiguration of the road to allow increased traffic, cycling and road user safety. The CFG archaeological assessment is generally thorough but lacks detail of the extent of wall demolition and method and how loss of this feature might be mitigated. This would need to be provided for the archaeological authority that will be necessary to demolish the wall.

Cultural values

We agree with the recommendation in the Archaeological Assessment that an Authority should be applied for. From a Māori heritage perspective, there are no further comments. We support the recommendation for an Archaeological Authority.

Built heritage values

We accept that the parameters and concept design proposed to achieve the roading, bicycle path and footpath for the west side of Carrington Road are such that realistically the existing 1887 portion of the airing court wall cannot be retained in situ.

We do however regard as feasible the implementing of an inground surface outline of the original position of the 1887 wall using original bricks from the wall, including the returns that existed back to the hospitals western wing for a distance that remains within the designation boundary. This would be a tangible way to indicate the former location and presence of the wall, which combined with interpretative signage in an appropriate publicly visible position (at the confluence of bike and walking paths), would assist in mitigation for the 1887 wall's removal. These are aspects we would like to see incorporated into the proposal.

Ngā mihi,
Lisa

Lisa Ahn | Planner/Kaiwhakamāhere – Northern Region

Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga

L10 SAP Tower 151 Queen Street Auckland CBD | Private Box 105 291, Auckland City 1143 | DDI: (07) 577 4535 | Ph: 027 267 3197 | visit www.heritage.org.nz and learn more about NZ's heritage places.

[Tairangahia a tua whakarere; Tatakihia nga reanga o amuri ake nei – Honouring the past; Inspiring the future](#)

This communication may be a privileged communication. If you are not the intended recipient, then you are not authorised to retain, copy or distribute it. Please notify the sender and delete the message in its entirety.

Important notice: The contents of this email and any attachments may be confidential and subject to legal privilege. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments; any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is prohibited. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Auckland Transport.

Important notice: The contents of this email and any attachments may be confidential and subject to legal privilege. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments; any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is prohibited. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Auckland Transport.

From: [Bev Parslow](#)
To: [Kelly Durham \(AT\)](#)
Cc: [Stuart Bracey](#); [Mary Kienholz](#)
Subject: Carrington Road Widening HNZPT response
Date: Friday, 11 July 2025 3:44:21 pm

This Message Is From an External Sender

Looks suspicious? Please click the 'Report Suspicious' button for automatic analysis.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Kia ora Kelly,

Hope this finds you well.

HNZPT confirms that it supports the resource consent lodged by AT for the Carrington Road Widening works following our recent discussions. HNZPT's support relates specifically to the proposed demolition of the brick road boundary wall to the Carrington Hospital site (*Category 1 Historic Place*). HNZPT is satisfied that the agreed mitigation conditions offered by AT will satisfy the heritage concerns it has raised (see below) -

1. *The Consent Holder shall implement the following mitigation measures as shown in XXX:*
 - (a) *Brick wall along the western edge of the proposed road of at least 1250mm in height, including works to integrate with/make good the edge of the remnant section of the original wall;*
 - (b) *Brick pavement border around landscaping areas;*
 - (c) *Heritage interpretation panel(s) as part of the wall detailed in (a); and*
 - (d) *Timber post fencing north of the brick wall described in (a).*
2. *Measures at (a) and (b) shall be constructed wholly or partially from reclaimed bricks from the original wall if practicable.*
3. *The Consent Holder shall consult with HNZPT regarding the final design of the brick wall described at (1)(a), including the extent and location of any sections with additional height of up to 2440mm. The design shall give specific consideration to:*
 - a. *Accommodation of utility ducts and chambers;*
 - b. *Any departures from Auckland Transport standards and/or dispensations from utility providers required; and*
 - c. *The structural integrity and design of the wall.*
 - d. *The context and integrity of the Carrington Hospital Category 1 Historic Place.*

4. *The Consent Holder shall consult with HNZPT regarding the heritage interpretation panels described at (1)(c).*
5. *The Consent Holder shall invite HNZPT to view the heritage mitigation measures described in (1) within one month of their construction.*

Ngā mihi nui/Kind regards

Bev

Bev Parslow | Kaiwhakahaere Matua | Director Northern | Heritage New Zealand

Pouhere Taonga | L10 SAP Tower 151 Queen Street Auckland CBD | Private Box 105 291 Auckland

City 1143 | DDI: (64 9) 307 9923 mobile 0272921445 | visit www.heritage.org.nz and learn more about NZ's heritage places.

***Tairangahia a tua whakarere; Tatakihia nga reanga o amuri ake nei – Honouring the past;
Inspiring the future***

This communication may be a privileged communication. If you are not the intended recipient, then you are not authorised to retain, copy or distribute it. Please notify the sender and delete the message in its entirety.
